

# Minutes of the Governing Board Meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> October 2018 at 7.00pm

#### **ATTENDANCE**

Kim Issroff – Chair	✓				
Don McGibbon – <b>Headteacher</b>	✓				
Rebecca Combes	✓				
Annie Balme	✓				
Sorin Floti	✓				
Oonagh Pierce	✓				
Margaret O'Sullivan	✓				
Kate Pattison	✓ arrived during the meeting				
Samir Shah	✓				
Marianthi Papadimitriou	✓ arrived during the meeting				
Marcus Boyland	✓ from 7.30				
Kelly Williams	✓				
Hannah Shipp	✓				
Janet Guthrie – Vice Chair	APOLOGIES				
Caroline Heal	APOLOGIES				
Kirsty Kitchen	APOLOGIES				
OTHERS PRESENT:					
Debbie Barry – School Business Manager	<b>√</b>				
Pete McGuigan - Clerk to Governors	<b>✓</b>				
Becca Wall – <b>Observer</b>	<b>✓</b>				

	ITEM	ACTION
1	PRE MEETING DISCUSSION – APPRAISAL AND PAY PROGRESSION	
1.1	Kim (KI) opened meeting at 7.00 p.m. and introduced new Governors.  Don (DM) updated Governors on arrangements for appraisal and pay progression and highlighted:  • This area had been delegated to the Headteacher, overseen by the Pay Committee (separate, but usually drawn from, the Personnel Committee)  • Teacher appraisal was managed through 6 key events  1. Staff self-review, using an Initial form (for teacher, and	



	support staff - tabled), to complete prior to meetings with line managers  2. Autumn term meetings to discuss the self-review and set 3 targets (with foci on pupil progress, quality of teaching and a specific area of responsibility or development, negotiated with the teacher)  3. Ongoing monitoring (classroom observation, work scrutiny and pupil interviews)  4. Spring term mid-year reviews (with notes and any required actions)  5. Summer term end of year reviews (looking at targets, impact, progress and outcomes, with three judgements — target met, partially met or not met), which led to pay recommendations  6. Reporting to, and agreement of, the Pay Committee  Pay progression also depended on which pay scale staff were on (e.g. Main Pay Range grades 1-6 could rise yearly and teachers could apply to go through the 'threshold' to the Upper Pay Range from MPR 6, and UPR staff would normally spend at least 2 years on each grade.  Deciding on whether targets had been met, required judgement, even for clear numerical targets, where there was also flexibility, e.g. progress for children with SEND		
1.2	<ul> <li>Through questioning and discussion, Governors established that:         <ul> <li>The system at Fleet tried to ensure that observations were fair and developmental, sometimes involving peer observation and where necessary, involving support and CPD</li> <li>NQTs were observed by their teacher mentor and were not formally part of the Performance Management System during their first year</li> <li>Observations of teachers without class responsibility e.g. SEND group teachers, still focused on progress shown in pupil data</li> <li>Support staff Performance Management was less rigorous and DM agreed the need to formalise it more, e.g. with greater focus on school needs, including IT training, rather than staff interest (Becca (RW) to progress this)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The recommended Camden Pay Policy (agreed with teacher Unions) had been adopted by the school (MPR teachers - 3% increase, UPS teachers - 2% and Leadership Scale teachers - 1.5%). Governors noted that a predicted 2% salary increase already in the 18-19 budget, together with a DfE grant, based on pupil numbers from the previous census, would likely cover the cost of the increases for the year.</li> <li>DM agreed to present an updated Pay and Appraisal Policy to the next FGB.</li> </ul>	RW,	Deleted: C Deleted: C Deleted: Rebecca Deleted: C



	Marcus (MB) arrived at 7.30	
2	Welcome and apologies for absence	
2.1	KI welcomed everyone formally to the meeting.	
3	Apologies for absence	
3.1	Governors noted that Marianthi and Kate would be late and accepted apologies from:  • Caroline Heal • Kirsty Kitchen • Janet Guthrie – Vice Chair  Governors noted that Janet was stepping down as Vice Chair and SEND Governor. KI asked that any Governor willing to take on either role, to contact her.	All
4	Governors' Annual Declaration of interest	
4.1	Pete (PM) circulated the Annual Declaration for checking – PM to collate and copy to the school for inclusion of the school website	PM
5	Declaration of interest in regard to the meeting	
5.1	There were no declarations of interest for the meeting.	
6	Notification of AOB	
6.1	<ul> <li>Governors agreed to discuss</li> <li>the Governors' Code of Conduct (tabled at the meeting)</li> <li>the proposed Abacus School and it likely impact on FPS</li> </ul>	
7	Minutes of the previous meeting (16.7.18)	
7.1	Governors agreed the accuracy of the minutes from the previous meeting.	
8	Matters arising from previous meeting (16.7.18)	
8.1	Through discussion, Governors highlighted that:  a. dates had been set for committee meetings (included in the minutes)  b. All Governors could now access GovernorHub and any queries should be directed to PM  c. DM was challenging children and parents more, over inadequate reasons for absence	



O ANY SO			
	d. DM had circulated information on clubs and sports in the school newsletter		
	e. the Asset Register was nearly complete and would be ready		Deleted: was
	to be presented to the Finance Committee	Finance	
	f. Oonagh (OP) would meet with the families of persistently		
	absent pupils, to highlight the importance of regular	OP	
	attendance	Or	
	g. KI and Annie (AB) <u>along with Oonagh, Marcus, Sorin and</u>	KI AD	
		KI AB	
	Kirsty were yet to arrange meetings with parent groups,		
	using a protocol devised by KI		
9	Headteacher's report		
	DM presented the previously-circulated Headteacher's report and		
	through close questioning and discussion, Governors established that:		
	a. there had been a reduction in pupil numbers with more		
	mobility in Reception and a reduced number in Nursery		
	compared to the previous year – currently 203 on roll		
	b. provision of Nursery places across Camden was a complex		
	issue, with uneven and often unfair implications for schools		
	and communities, including schools in disadvantaged areas		
	struggling with funding whilst other schools could make a		
	profit on their Nursery offer		
	c. Governors wanted greater clarity and more accurate data from		
	Camden Learning on Nursery provision and funding. KI and		
	other local Chairs of Governors, <u>had met</u> with Keir Starmer MP		Deleted: would meet
	to discuss it.		
	d. There had been two (successful) referrals to the new SEND		
	panel that considers applications for Exceptional Needs Grants		
9.1	(a part-replacement for EHCPs), which could be agreed quickly,		
	and were reviewed after 2 years.		
	e. Attendance had improved since the previous year		
	f. Following a recent Standards meeting with the school's		
	Camden Professional Partner, DM and RW_would		Deleted: C
	<ul> <li>provide data that compared progress to the end of</li> </ul>		
	the previous year and to the end of the previous Key		
	Stage		
	<ul> <li>ensure the SDP would have milestones for better</li> </ul>		
	monitoring of progress		
	<ul> <li>provide a Y6 Action Plan with improved tracking</li> </ul>	DM RC	
	o provide sharper target setting (for pupils on track to		
	achieve 'expected', those falling below and those		
	who could achieve 'greater depth' – to identify		
	those pupils capable of making accelerated		
	progress), which would enable greater scrutiny by		



Company of the Compan	FLEET PRIMARY SCHOOL			
	g. F <u>leet</u> was working with the local Cluster Hub on a 3 year		(	Deleted: PS
	Reading programme focused on Y4 pupils, on track to achieve 'expected' – to aim for 'greater depth'			
	h. F <u>leet</u> was part of 4 other Hubs – EYFS, Maths, Mental Health		(	Deleted: PS
	i. There had been several successful events since the beginning of term, including an arts day, maths week, sports events, e.g. cross country, football, whole school bike training, working with an Arsenal coach, a wide range of class trips, UCS and IoE students working and observing in school, and being part of a CLPE research project – The Power of Pictures j. Planning permission for development of the 'the top pitch' had been agreed and a decision was now awaited on funding k. The health of packed lunches had improved with a new policy, although obesity tended to be linked to food outside of school, rather than school lunch options l. They had reduced the number of Reading volunteers in school to focus on increasing effectiveness and impact. Governors asked that a Reading volunteer address a future Governors' meeting m. KI asked Governors to provide her with some ideas for future presentations to the FGB	KI DM All		Delecca. 13
	DM presented the previously-circulated KS2 Progress Grids for 2018- 19 and highlighted:  • The importance of identifying and supporting pupils who were not on track to make 'expected progress' from KS1 (especially			
9.2	those who were previously a 2C, to achieve 'expected' achievement at the end of KS2, and those who were a 2A, to achieve 'greater depth' at the end of KS2). DM noted the great challenge of helping children achieve this progress. Governors noted that the targets were ambitious, and DM agreed to present the Action Plan to the next Curriculum and Standards committee meeting. DM noted that some KS1 data (for children from other schools in KS1) appeared inaccurate, i.e.			
	much higher than Fleet teachers assessed them, and this could		(	Deleted: FPS
	skew the progress results.			
10	School Self Evaluation (SEF)			
10.1	DM presented the previously-circulated SEF and through questioning and discussion, Governors highlighted:  a. locals cluster schools were sharing their SEFs			
10.1	<ul> <li>concerns over the accuracy of the SEF, given the problems of the previous year's focus in Y6 maths (i.e. not giving enough focus to problem solving, which had a deleterious impact on</li> </ul>			



	Y6 maths scores]. DM emphasized that it was not a systemic	
	problem, that balancing provision with the needs of pupils and	
	the demands of the tests, was an ongoing challenge. Teacher	
	assessments the previous year had been accurate and had	
	identified problems in arithmetic and problem solving: judging	
	whether the right balance of teaching had been made in	
	retrospect was always partial – it was impossible to reflect on the consequences of actions not taken – e.g. focusing earlier	
	on problem solving could easily have led to poor performance	
	in arithmetic.	
	c. teachers were more confident this year over their ownership	
	of the data, (with termly PUMA tests and lesson by lesson	
	assessments) and their use of that knowledge to drive	
	teaching strategies	
	d. there was a focus on improving resilience and resourcefulness	
	through the Happiness Project	
	e. DM was working with the families of Persistent Absentee	
	children (PA), although this was challenging – PA families often	
	cancelled meetings at the last minute, making it very difficult	
	or organize Education Welfare support. DM reported that he	
	had reduced authorization of medical absence (without	
	appropriate evidence), and for leave in school time unless	
	there were extreme circumstances	
	f. Data for specified groups (e.g ethnic background) were very	
	difficult to interpret, given the small sample size – no clear trends emerged from the data	
	tienus emergeu mom the data	
11	School Development Plan (SDP)	
	Governors considered the previously-circulated SDP documents and	
	agreed:	
	DM to change the format for the next meeting to reflect year	
	on year development of the key priorities, plus using shorter	
44.4	term milestones in targets	
11.1	the school was accountable for the food it provided, but its	
	role in changing family dietary habits out of school, was	
	<ul> <li>through education e.g. PSHE lessons</li> <li>Less reliance on supply staff for long term absence was</li> </ul>	
	allowing the school greater cover flexibility	
	anowing the seriod greater cover riexibility	
12	Governors' Yearly Plan	
	Governors considered and agreed the previously-circulated	
10.1	Governors' Yearly Plan.	
13	Policies	

Deleted:



	Governors considered and agreed the previously-circulated policies					
	for					
	a. <u>Safeguarding</u>					
	b. Keeping Children Safe in Education – Part 1					
13.1	c. Online safety					
	d. Guidance for schools on dealing with allegations against staff					
	e. Physical Intervention and restraint policy					
	f. CSCB Harmful Sexual Behaviour Protocol					
	g. Punctuality and Attendance Policy					
14	Governors' visits					
	KI reminded Governors to visit the school and write up short reports					
14.1	for dissemination at the next FGB.	All				
	Governors agreed the previously-circulated Governors' Code of					
14.2	Conduct					
15	АОВ					
	Governors discussed their continuing concerns over the free school,					
15.1	Abacus, and noted that they needed to monitor developments, given					
15.1	its likely impact on pupil numbers at <u>Fleet</u> and other local schools					
	Governors agreed to comment individually on a new proposed cycle					
15.2	route near the school.					
16	Dates of Future meetings					
	Governors noted the dates of GB meetings for 18-19:					
	FGB (all at 7.00 p.m.)					
	Wednesday 5th December 2018 (followed by Christmas					
	drinks)					
	Monday 11th February 2019					
	Wednesday 20th March 2019					
	Thursday 23rd May 2019					
16.1	Monday 1st July 2019  Figure and Staffing Sub-Garanittee (all at 7.00 n.m.)					
	Finance and Staffing Sub-Committee (all at 7.00 p.m.)					
	• Thursday 29.11.18					
	·					
	·					
	<ul><li>Tuesday 20.11.18</li><li>Tuesday 15.1.19</li></ul>					
	• Tuesday 30.4.19					
	<ul> <li>Wednesday 6.3.19</li> <li>Wednesday 12.6.19</li> <li>Curriculum Sub-Committee (all at 6.00 p.m.</li> <li>Tuesday 20.11.18</li> </ul>					
	•					
	uesuay 50.4.19					

Deleted: proposed opening

Deleted: of a new

Deleted: FPS



• A fourth meeting is to be added to look specifically at end of year outcomes – date to be confirmed

KI thanked Governors for attending and closed the meeting at 9.25 p.m.					
Signed:	Date:	_			
Kim Issroff, (	Chair of Fleet Board of Governors				